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## Dynamical social networks

- Networks have been used since long to characterize social systems (1934, J. Moreno)
- Many insights have been obtained, by looking at the topological properties of social networks
$\Rightarrow$ Small diameter, long tailed distributions, high clustering, community structure, etc
- Most previous considerations have focused on static social networks, in which vertices and edges do not change in time
$\Rightarrow$ Approximately correct in certain cases: citation networks
- Social interaction networks are however intrinsically dynamic, edges being a succession of contact or communication events, which are constantly created or terminated between pairs of individuals (actors)
$\Rightarrow$ Static networks being an integrated projection of dynamical ones
- The temporal dimension of social networks has important consequences
$\Rightarrow$ Epidemic spreading, usually considered on static networks


## Effects of network dynamics on epidemics

- Key point: You are not in contact with all your friends simultaneously
- Imagine three individuals: Anne, Bob and Carol
- Anne has a contact with Bob and Bob has a contact with Carol

- In a simple network interpretation, if Ann has a disease, she can pass it to Carol
- Adding a temporal dimension, the timing of the contacts matters

$\Rightarrow$ If $t_{2}<t_{1}$, then Carol cannot catch the disease!


## Empirical analysis of dynamical social networks

- The empirical measurement of social interactions is a non-trivial task
$\Rightarrow$ Classically, it was performed by means of personal interviews and questionnaires
* Expensive, time consuming, unreliable
- Recent technological advances have made possible the real-time tracking of social interactions in groups of individuals, at several temporal and spatial scales
$\Rightarrow$ E-mail exchanges
$\Rightarrow$ Mobile phone communications
- Here we focus on a cheap, largely scalable and high-resolution method:
$\Rightarrow$ The SocioPatterns project


## The SocioPatterns Project

- Measure of the contact patterns of a group of interacting individuals in a spatially bounded setting, such as a set of offices or a conference. The participants are asked to carry small RFID tags (beacons). These beacons continuously broadcast small data packets which are received by a number of stations and relayed through a local network to a server
- Tags exchange low power messages in a peer-to-peer fashion to sense their neighborhood and assess directly contacts with nearby tags
- After the beacons detect a contact, they broadcast a report message at a higher power level. These reports are received by the stations and relayed to the monitoring infrastructure. The reports are stored with a time stamp, the id of the relaying station and the id of the tags which participate in the contact event



## Output of SocioPatterns deployments



## Representation of dynamical network's data

Cattuto et al. PLoS ONE (2010)


## Statistical properties of dynamical networks

- Contact sequence:
$\Rightarrow$ Analysis of the patterns of interactions between agents
* Length of conversations $\Delta t$
* Gap between conversations $\boldsymbol{T}$


Holme et. al, Physics Reports (2011)

- Weighted integrated network:
$\Rightarrow$ Topological properties of the weight pattern
* Weight $\omega$ of edges (time conversing)
* Strength s (total time conversing)



## Contact sequence properties of face-to-face contacts

- Long-tailed distribution of conversation length and gaps



## General property: "Burstiness" of human activity



Distribution of response times between receiving a letter and answering it (Darwin-Einstein correspondence)


Distribution of times between consecutive HTML requests to the portal by the same user

Dezso et al., PRE (2006)

Barabasi, Nature (2005)


Distribution of time between consecutive e-mails sent

Distribution of time between consecutive print requests


Harder et al., Physica A (2006)

## Weighted network topological properties



## Modeling social interaction networks

- Think in what you do at a conference or meeting...
[0] Bunch of scientists set free in a



## Model definition in mathematical terms

- $N$ agents (individuals) in a square box of size $L$
- Two individuals at a distance less that d can interact ("talk")
- Agents are characterized by an attractiveness a and and activity $r$
$\Rightarrow a \Rightarrow$ "interest" of an agent as seen by others
$\Rightarrow r \Rightarrow$ how active an agent is
* Random variables with distributions $\eta(a)$ and $\zeta(r)$
- Agents perform a biased random walk, depending on its environment:
- At time $t$, agent $i$ stays in place with probability

$$
q_{i}(t)=\max _{j \in \mathcal{N}_{i}(t)}\left\{a_{j}\right\}
$$

- Otherwise, performs a step in a random direction
- With probability $1-r$, the agent becomes inactive, and stops interacting

- If inactive, with probability $r$ becomes active and interacts again


## Model Results: Statistics of contacts



$\eta(a)$ and $\zeta(r)$ uniform distributions

Model Results: Topology of the weighted connected network


## Conclusions

- Social networks are better characterized with an additional temporal dimension
- Recent advances allow the easy and cheap gathering of large-scale data on dynamical social networks
$\Rightarrow$ The SocioPatterns projects
- The statistical analysis of SocioPatterns data allows to obtain novel information about human behavior
$\Rightarrow$ Long-tailed interaction distributions, burstiness, etc
- We have developed a simple model that can explain the basic features of human social face-to-face interactions as represented by the SocioPatterns data
- Realistically inspired:
$\Rightarrow$ People walk and stop to talk
$\Rightarrow$ The more interesting the partner, the larger the tendency to keep talking
- Model with simple simple parameters and no tuning
- Results qualitatively independent of the functional parameters $\eta(a)$ and $\zeta(r)$
- Very good fitting between model results and empirical data
- Opens the door to a better understanding of social behavior and social interactions


## Thanks for your attention...

... and see you at the poster session !!

